GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~19~~ 1892-3

( Madras )

# File No. 194

# Serial Nos.

# Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Hereditary Madras Cash Allowance payable to Mamidi Srinivasiengar from the Srirangapatam [illegible]

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES. |
|---|---|
| | Mag. F. 115-01 |

(General Serial No. 31).

1890

From

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
7544
10 NOV 90
1019

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt. of Mysore.

Date No.
Despatched 13-11-90
Received 17 November

Enclosures No. 24 file

General No. 997
No.

C Venkatesh as 9/11/90

Has the honor to submit for perusal and order copy of the Sub-Division Office letter No: 465, dated the 29th August 1890, in regard to the discontinuance of the ready money grant of Rs 4-13-0 per mensem to Manikamma Sreenivasaraghavar, who prays that the allowance discontinued from the date of his father's death in 1886, may be confirmed to him in hereditary succession as per joint Sanad of His Highness the Maharaja

Refer to terms of Sanad to allowances appear to be of hereditary character to both the grantees.

A1

A3 issued

Certified Copy of a Minute entered in the Inam Register Book dated 15th June 1880.

Endorsement No: 39 dated 30 June 1885, granted to the Applicants for the

Title Deed dated 15th October 1872, granted to the Applicants by the Assistant

Certificate No: 11 granted to the Applicants Father by Inam Officer.

The Vernacular papers containing the Opinions of the Inam Superintendent in regard to the continuance of the allowance and other documents as per Margin together with a Copy of the Memo prepared in this office are herewith submitted with a request that the Sanction of Government may be obtained.

G. Macdonald

Deputy Commissioner

Ceylon

The

No. 465
Enclos: 19 papers.

French Rocks Sub Division
Dated 29th August 1890.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir

I have the honor to state that His Highness the late Maharaja has directed in his "Nirup" dated 21st December 1829, that the yearly ready money allowance granted to Ramanujapuram Iyaniengar and Manikamike Iyaniengar, should be continued hereditorily. Prior to the Inam Settlement, the former Inamdar died, His Son Ramanuja Iyengar, gave an application to the Inam Superintendent with an authenticated copy of the said Nirup, that the allowance should be continued to him

and his descendents as per nirup. Thereupon the Inam Superintendent having made necessary enquiries as to the loss of the original nirup, confirmed the said allowance as prayed for by the petitioner. But in the case of the latter, this allowance[x] was confirmed only for his life time. After his death, his son presented a petition in 1888, to this office, requesting that the allowance should be continued to him and his successors as was done in the case of Ramanuja Iyengar under the authority of the said nirup. Upon this, the Inam Superintendent was asked under what circumstances this allowance was not confirmed hereditorily; in reply he stated that during the Settlement the Inamdar having produced no nirup or document,

[x] Rs 57/- per annum.

the Inam was confirmed for life time; and that if the Sub-division Officer is of opinion that it should be continued hereditarily as is done in the case of Kamanuja Iyanna, The matter may be referred for the orders of the Government.

2. The authority granting the allowance in the case of both the Inamdars being identified, I think that the prayer of the petitioners should be granted. I would therefore request that you will be good enough to submit the case for the order of the Government.

3, The correspondence bearing on the subject and extracts from the Inam Registers are herewith submitted for reference.

Thanks &c.
Sd/ D Ramachandra Rao
Sub Dt Officer
Penukonda

"Copy"

for Deputy Commissioner

Translation of the authenticated copy of
His Highness the late Maha
Rajah's Firuk dated 21st December 1829
issued to Setu Rao, Amildar of the late Saligram
Taluk (now Yedatore Taluk).

---

"As Ramanujaswami Iyanjengar
and Manikarnike Iyanjengar belong to the
family of Adhyacharya Purusha and recite
Adhaiyana & other sacred verses, and as Gooroo
Jois, the Palace Pandit, requests that the Varusha-
sanam allowances now payable to the aforesaid
individuals in the Saligram Taluk may be
ordered to be continued to them in hereditary
succession, it is hereby directed that the Varush-
-sanam allowances payable to Ramanujaswami
Iyanjengar and Manikarnike Iyanjengar in
the said Taluk should be continued to them
in hereditary succession without any disturbance"

C.P.

(Signed) Shree Krishna.

Translation of H. H. the
late Maharajah's Nirau,
dated 21st Dec. 1829

Extract of the Rules for the settlement of Ready money grants.

× × × × × × ×

## Rule VII

Personal or subsistence grants, falling under its clause (k) will be disposed of according to the terms of the sanad, or in its absence according to the hitherto existing and recognized practice in the Province.

2. Grants of money supported by sanads, in which the hereditary character of the grant is expressly mentioned, are to be continued without reduction to its present holder, his heirs, male or female, in direct succession, to undivided brothers and cousins, and to persons regularly adopted according to the Hindu Law.

Ex extract of Ready
money Swami Rule VII

(General Serial No. 30).

189 0.

*From*

*To* The Superintendent of Inam Settlements

*Dated* 2

*Despatched* 4 December /90

*Received*

Enclosures No.

*General No.* 9785
*No.* 124

Has the honor to request that an extract of the detailed Ready money Inam Register of the Hoshtagram (now Serangapatam) Taluk for the marginally noted 2 cases may be forwarded to this Office together with the available records of proceedings connected with those two cases.

By order,

Under Sec.

Case No. — Name of the Inamdar — Annual allowance.

(1) 1466 — Iyengar of Serangapatam — Rs — As — P.
57 — 12 — 0

(2) 1467 — Mandya Ramanuja Iyengar — 87 — " — "

OFFICE C
8774
02 DEC 90
DEWAS

1890

From

The Supdt of Indian Affairs

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Govern.t of Bombay

Dated 18th
Recd 19th } December

Enclosure No 1

No 57 of 1890-91.

His Docket No 9N/86
124

the 3rd Instant.

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith, copy of Muzzir cases (Nos 146 and 147) of the Ashta-grám (now Sérangapáta) Taluk, and to state that no other proceedings, are forthcoming in the records.

Supdt of Indian Settle.

DS

C Vanderstraaten
as
22/12/90

Copies of the Muzjor Register of the Ashtoo

| General Number | Value of the Inam | Whether Personal or Hereditary | Name of Grantor | Nature & Extent of Grant | Name of the Inamdar to whom the Register Muzjor is to be delivered or other Persons interested in accounts |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 46 | Annually 57-12-- Monthly 4 13-0 | Personal | " | " | Yedatore Thimmu Hazur Nagadi Muzjor account of 1840 Elam Kurnike Iyna Iyengar Annually-19-7-8-8¼ Taluk Baravard of 1878-79. Mam Kurnike Iyna Iyengar Annually-57-12-- Monthly-4-13-- |
| 147 | Annually 87-- -- Monthly 7-4-- | Do | " | " | Hazur Nagadi account of 1840 Sirmala Iyna Iyengar P. 7 Annually-29-9-1 Taluk Baravard of 1878-79. Rumanja Purda Iyna Iyengar P. 7 Annually-87-- -- Monthly-7-4-- |

Srirangapatna Taluk, of the Mysore District,

| Name, Place of residence and age. | Caste or tribe, height & Complexion | Indelible marks bearing on the identification of the person. | Relationship to the Sowcar or the registered holder | Particulars of the lands in the village | | Particulars of the Commn | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Name of the village | Taluk | Area cultivated and Value | |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | |
| Srirangapatna Iyna Iyengar 56 | Shrivaishnava Brahmin Height 5-4 | Mole on the forehead over the right eye | Same party | 1 Dry | | | |
| Mandya Ramanja Iyengar 26 | Shrivaishnava Brahmin Height 5-5½ | Mole on the forehead over the right eyebrow | Same | 1 Dry & 1 Garden | | | |

| Extent | Amount of Allowance | Recommendation of the Assistant. |
|---|---|---|
| 14 | 15 | 16 |
| " | " | 1. Rupees 57-12-" Annually or 4-13-" monthly be confirmed & continued to the life of the present recipient under Murpoi Rule VII, 1. certificate.<br>(Signed) Syed Nassiroodeen<br>Imam Assistant<br>9-7-79.<br>(Countersigned)<br>(Signed) Rob: Cole<br>Imam Supt.<br>9-10-80. |
| " | " | The original grantee is said to have died on the 14th April last and the allowance discontinued ever since. The deceased is unnamed in Col: 7 put in our appearance in this Office with a copy of a Nerooj herewith submitted Authenticated by the then Muldar of Pedatore Taluk in which it is stated that the allowance is continuable to the descendents of grantee. Not being satisfied with this Copy the Amil was asked in this Office No. 100 of 24th July last to forward the original Nerooj who in reply No. 4330 of 11th August last stated that the original Nerooj is not available in the Taluk records. The Murpoi Office was thereupon requested to forward the Nerooj if forthcoming in his office record, who in reply informed in the negative, and said that the Amil has been written to to forward the original Nerooj from the Taluk Record. The Amil having already replied in reply to this Office that it is not forthcoming in his record it is useless to expect the original Nerooj from the Muldars |

Col: 16 Contd of case 14.

Amildars Office. now the question is, Can this Copy be acted upon in the absence of the original the loss of which has not satisfactorily been accounted for. The Copy was obtained so late as 21st Novr 1879 and I think before Copy can be acted upon a thorough Enquiry should be made regarding the Original. The work in this Office is to be closed and the required Enquiry cannot be made now which, I think, can be done in the Central Office. Should the loss of the original order is satisfactorily accounted for the Copy may be acted upon and the allowance continued accordingly.

15-10-79. (Signed) Syed nassiroodeen
Inam Asstt

---

Review by the Special Assistant & Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

---

Col 17.

1. The Copy States clearly that the allowance was to be continued in hereditary succession. Payment is so confirmed.

15-6-80. (Signed) Rob: Cole
Inam Supt

---

"Copy"

Inam Supts Office
Bangalore 18th Decr 1880.

Supt of Inams & Settls.

DP

Read

Docket No. 997 dated 6th Novr. /90 from the Dy Commr. Mysore Dist. forwarding for orders the correspondence relative to the ~~continuance~~ continuance of the ready money grant of Rs. 5-7-12- u per annum or Rs. 4-13- u per mensem in hereditary succession to one Manikarnike Sreenivasiengar, ~~Son~~ of Manikarnike Gyaniengar who died in 1886.

Docket No. 57 dated 18th Decr. /90 from the Supdt of Inam settlements forwarding an extract of the Inam Register of the late Ashta-gram Taluk (now Seringapatam Taluk) shewing the details of the Inam settlement

of

of the Mysore case
No. 116 now under
reference.

Order thereon.

The records show
that H.H. the late Maha
Rajah ordered in his
Perusa dated 7th
Decr. 1829 that the Warn-
shasanam allowances
of Rs. 8-4-0 and 5-12-0
per annum granted to
Ramanujapuram
Gyaniengar and Mani-
Karnike Gyaniengar
respectively should be
continued to them in
hereditary succession
that the said Perusa is
not forthcoming either
in the Taluk records or
in the office of the Mysore
Officer of the Dist. that
in the Inam settlement
of 1880, the allowance
of Ramanujapuram
Gyaniengar (who was
then dead was

Ins. 13134 & 112 & 11
111 7th

Up Noted

confirmed hereditarily
to his son, Ramanuja
Iyengar, on the production
of an authenticated copy
of H. H. the late Maharaja's
Nirup above alluded to,
that the allowance of
Manikarniki Iyaniengar
was confirmed to him
for life only on the ground
that he failed to produce
the Nirup in the Inam
settlement that, after
his death, his son,
Srinivasiengar, the
Sub Division officer,
French Rocks, forward-
-ed the records to the
Supdt of Inam settle-
ments & requested him
that the allowance of
Manikarniki Iya-
niengar might be
ordered to be continued
hereditarily to his son
(Srinivasiengar)
as in the case of

of Ramanuja Iyengar
Son of Ramanujaswami
Iyaningar, and that
thereupon the Subdt
of Inam settlements
replied that as the
settlement was made
by the late Inam Subdt
the matter could not
be interfered with
by him without Govt
sanction & that the
Sub Division officer
himself might sub-
mit the case for the
orders of Govt.

2. On reference to the
authenticated copy of
H. H. the late Maharajah's
Kaifiat dated 21st Decr
1829, it is observed
that the late Maha
Rajah has distinctly
authorized the con-
tinuance of the allowance

allowances in hereditary succession to both the recipients. The terms of the joint Kirnam have been recognized and acted upon favorably in the case of one party (viz Ramanuja puram Iyangar's son). The case of the other party (viz, Srinivasa Iyengar, son of Manikarnike Iyengar) has been dealt with separately though it justly deserved a similar settlement.

3. Under the above circumstances, Govt are of opinion that the allowance of Manikarnike Iyengar should also be recognized as a hereditary grant under the provisions of clause (2) of

Ms. 135

of Ready money Inam Rule VII and continued to his heirs hereditarily.

4. The entire records will be forwarded to the Supdt of Inam settlements and he will be requested to revise the case accordingly.

19/2/91

Chief Secretary.

To The Dy Commr Mysore Dist.

Supdt of Inam settlements with entire records.

C.Y.

R.

To the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dist. 8/2/91

By Commissioner

Inspected

Supdt Inam Settlement

19—2—91

No 13134-5

779 R

Recognizing the allowance of Manikavnike Iyaniengar as a hereditary grant under the provisions of Clause (2) of Ready money Inam Rule VII and ordering its continuance to his son hereditarily

General Serial No 30) Mudhai

189 2.

From

To The Secretary of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Dated 7th April. Camp Melkote

Despatched 9

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 8

In No. 1

From Mr. Asst. Commr. Do. No. 5 dt. 27-4-92

Govt. Pro. No. 13134-5 dated 19th Feby 1791 recognizing the Ready money allowance of one Srinivasiengar, son of Manikarnidae Iyanengar of the Seringapatam Taluk as a hereditary grant and requesting him to reside the case accordingly.

---

With reference to the above I have the honor to enquire whether the settlement has been revised accordingly and whether a fresh title-deed

~~was~~ issued to the party

By Order

Secretary.

C.V.

1892. 27/5.

From

The Supt.t of Prison Settlements

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Bengal
Judicial Branch

Dated 27th April
Recd 29th

Enclosure as
No 5 of 1892-93.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Docket No 8/1 of the 27th Instant.

In reply, has the honor to inform that the case under reference has already been revised, but a fresh Title-Deed has not as yet been issued therefor.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Supt.t of Prison Settlements

Head clerk
as
29/4/92

No 436/66 dated 27th April 1892

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN 24 MAR. 92 12957 1028

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
596 1/2

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.
Bangalore

Dated 22nd March

Despatched 23rd March

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 1447
No.

Government Proceedings No. 13134-5 779 dated 17th February 1891, sanctioning the conversion of the ready money allowance enjoyed by Malikarjunke Iyengar, from a life grant into an hereditary grant.

With reference to the above cited I have the honor to submit herewith a Stipend order on behalf of Srinivasa Iyengar Son of Malikarjunke Iyengar for approval.

A bill amounting to Rs. 322-4-0 received from the Mofussil officer being arrears from 24th August 1886 to 24th March 1892 is also submitted for sanction.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To Mirzai Seer
Mysk
24/3/92

Handed
as
30/3/92

As
30-3-92

Copied
ASu

(General Series) F 30




From

The Deputy Commissioner
Nuggehalli District

To

The Secretary 37
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 24 May 1892
Despatched 25 May 1892
Received

Enclosures No

General No. 189

Revd 26/5/92

His office docket No. 1147 of 22nd March 1892 Submitting for sanction a bill amounting to Rs 22-7-0 being arrears of pension money allowance due to Srinivasa Iyengar son of Ramika Venkata Iyengar together with a stipend order for Countersignature

---

Has the honor to request the favour of early orders on the above.

Dy commissioner
Nuggehalli District

Compd:
MM 26/5/92

To Mirasdar Deptt?
MM
26/5/92

B1
27-5-92

MUZRAI.

1892

*From*

*The Secretary to the*
*Government of Mysore.*

*To* The Deputy Commr^r
Mysore District

*Dated* 3rd
*Despatched* 4th } June '92
*Received*

*General No.* 267 - Mmz 7 106-92
*Muz. F. No.* 34

*Enclosure No.* 1 + 1 file V. P.

His Nos 1147 and 189
dated respectively 22nd
March and 24th May
'92 submitting for
sanction a bill for Rs
322-7-0, being the arrear
of allowance due to Sree-
-nivasiengar, Son of
Manikarsiké Iyasiengar,
a deceased Muzrai
stipendiary of the

From the same no 3034/30/6/92

the Srirangapatam Taluk from the 24th Aug: '86 to the 24th March '92.

With reference to the above has the honour to enquire whether provision has been made in the Budget Estimates for the amount of the bill submitted with the docket under reply.

2. The stipend order submitted in the name of Sreenivasiengar is duly signed and returned herewith together with the other enclosures.

By Order,

Yavi draft

Muzrai Secretary.

(General Serial No 30)

1892 203

58

From

The Dy Commissioner

Mysore District.

To

The Mooktear Secretary

to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 30th June 1892.

Despatched 2d July

Received 4/7

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 303

No.

Mooktear Secretary's docket No: 267/34 dated 3d. Instant requiring to whether provision has been made for the arrears of allowance viz Rs 322-7-0 due to Sreenivasiengar son of Ramkarnika Iyengar a deceased Mooktear Stipendiary from 24th August 1886 to the 24th March 1892.

---

Referring to the above, has the honor to state that the Mooktear Officer, in his reply No 26 dated 17th Instant, report that due provision will have to be made for the arrears of allowance in question in the current year's Budget which is

under

under preparation.

2. The stipend order signed and received with the above has been forwarded to the Accountant of the Servicepalaiem Taluk for delivery of the same and payment of the allowance from 1st April 1892.

3. The bills received with the above are resubmitted with the addition of the amount due to end of March 1892 making a total of Rs 323-8-4.

M K Wetherall

To

Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

Compd.
WW

[illegible]

| [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | [illegible] | 1886 [illegible] 24 [illegible]<br>92 [illegible] 24 [illegible]<br>5—5— u | [illegible] 57—12— u [illegible]<br>[illegible] 4—13— [illegible]<br>312—13— u | u u u u u | 312 | 13 u |
| | | | [illegible] | | | [illegible] 1886 [illegible] 24 [illegible]<br>[illegible] 1886 [illegible] |

25/[illegible]/92

[illegible signature]

[illegible]

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

*From*

*To* The Dy: Commr
Mysore Dt.

*Dated* 20 July 1892

*Despatched* 21

*Received*

Enclosures No. 1

*General No.* 436

*No.* 66

His Docket No. 303 dated 30th June 1892 resubmitting for sanction a bill for Rs. 322-7-, being the arrears of allowance due to Srinivasa-gar, Son of Manikarnike' Iyengar, a deceased Murasu stipendiary of the Serangapatam Taluk from the 26 August 1886 to the 26th March 1892.

———"———

In returning the bill received with the above has the honor to state

From the Same No 1298 28-7-93

that [illegible] Sepoy ...
... [illegible] to the ... copy
a ... copy of the
date of the ... order.

" 13134-5 dt 19th Feby
779

1891 a decision for the
period as ... ordered ~~as~~ there ~~was~~ no provision for
~~[illegible]~~
the ... decision ~~is~~ that a fresh bill for the
arrears of allowance from
19th February 1891 up to date
may be prepared and
submitted to this office
for sanction.

" By Order "

Secretary

6.45

Gl. No. 69

D. no 129 & 28/29 dt. July 93

Submitted in original to the Mussai Superintt. with enclosures, for sanction

(Sd) Mahomed Ali
Offg. Dy Commr.

To the Sanctn 135/6 dt. 4-8
dt. 7.7.93

---

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893-4

*From*

*To* D.C. Mysore
Comptroller

*Dated* 4"

*Despatched* August 1893

*Received*

**Enclosures No.** 2 & 1

*General No.* 1356
*Muzarayi. F. No.* 7-93

Passing a Bill for Rs 64-4-4 due to Manikarniki Deevanasiengar a Muzrai Stipendiary of the Serangapatam Taluk.

Murrai

Read:— Govt Pro: No 13134-5
779
d/ 19th February 1891 ~~sanctioning~~
~~the continuance of the Ready~~
-money allowance of Rs 4-13-
-0 per mensem enjoyed by Manikai-
-ike Iyaniengar, ~~a~~ declared
~~stipendiary of the Seringapatam~~
~~Taluk~~, to his son Manikamba
Sreenivasiengar in hereditary
succession.

official Mems: No 129
d/ 28th July/93, from the Dy
Commr, Mysore Dt, submitting
for sanction a bill for Rs 664-3
being the arrears of allowance
due to the said Sreenivasiengar
~~from~~ 19th Febry 1891 to the end
of March 1892.

Order thereon - No

The monthly
allowance

No. 135-6
Murs 7.7.93 4/5/8/93
Encl ~~4 folios~~
41

payable to the stipendiary is Rs 4-13-11 and not Rs 3-13-9 as incorrectly entered in the bill. The bill is accordingly corrected and passed for Rs 64-4-6.

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

*From*

*To* D-C. Mysore
Comptroller Nr

*Dated* 4th

*Despatched* August 93

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*
*Muzarayi, F. No.*

Draft

Passing a bill for Rs 64-4-6 due to Mani Karnike Sreenivasiengar a Muzarai Stipendiary of the Sreerungapatam Tk

Secy

C.R

To
Re Dy: Comm^r, Mysore &
returning the enclosures
— Comptroller with bill